

GARDNER GROFF

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

PRACTICING INTERNATIONAL AND DOMESTIC PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED MATTERS EXCLUSIVELY

ARTHUR A. GARDNER BRADLEY K. GROFF JOHN W. GREENWALD DANIEL J. SANTOS



September 29, 2003

Examiner Roland G. Foster Art Unit 2645 USPTO P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 RECEIVED

OCT 0 6 2003

Technology Center 2600

Re: Application Serial No. 09/675,597

Dear Examiner Foster:

Enclosed please find the original Office Action for the above-noted patent application. Although the paper has been addressed to us, we do not recognize the attorney docket number, inventors, nor the application serial number. We believe this was mailed to us in error therefore we are returning this paper to you.

Sincetely,

Alicia Howell

For Gardner Groff, P.C.

Enclosures



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2645

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
-	09/675,597	09/29/2000	Eric James 99EC036/77527		9867		
' '	O-4	590 09/16/2003					
0,024	N EARDNER C		OF"/FD	EXAMI	NER		
OCT 0.5	₹9 0 VILLAGE	VILLAGE, BUILDING 23 TRACE	RECEIVED	FOSTER, ROLAND G			
PATENT &	MARIETTA, C	GA 30067	OCT 0 6 2003	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
<u> </u>			Technology Center 2600	2645	\wp		
	·		Technology Genter 2000	DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
E	09/675,597	09/29/2000	Eric James	99EC036/77527	9867	
CR L	75	590 09/16/2003				
۸ ۱	TO CHARDNER G	ROFF, P.C.	23 RECEIVED	EXAM	NER	
l act	PAPER MILL	VILLAGE, BUILDING TRACE	23 ILLUCIVED	FOSTER, R	OLAND G	
PATEUR	VILLAGE VITE 300 MARIETTA, G	. A 20067	OCT 0 6 2003	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TEN	WARIETTA, O		Technology	2645		
4			Technology Center 2600	DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Applicati	on No. Applican	nt(s)
09/675,5	97 JAMES E	ET AL.
Office Action Summary	r Art Unit	
	l	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the Period for Reply	e cover sheet with the correspond	dence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statent of the NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apple of the Normal Status of the Normal Status of this content of the Normal Status of the No	rent, however, may a reply be timely filed tutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be consi rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da blication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	idered timely. ate of this communication. § 133).
- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September	2000	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is		
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except 		as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte C Disposition of Claims	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 2	213.
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.	RF	ECEIVED
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		OCT 0 6 2003
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1°-26</u> is/are rejected.	Techr	nology Center 2600
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>	.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election r	equirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ a	pproved b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this O	ffice action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	n received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been	n received in Application No	·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bureau (PCT * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cert	Rule 17.2(a)).	National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a pr	ovisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional ap 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority u	oplication has been received.	
Attachment(s)	: 00	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Applie 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10 and 12-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0010584 A1 to Schultz et al. ("Schultz").

With respect to claim 1, the "voice response server" reads on natural language server (Fig. 7 and paragraph 0095), the connection, processing, and responding to telephone requests reads on paragraphs 0076 - 0088.

Claim 12 differs substantively from claim 1 in that claim
12 recites a means to perform functions equivalent to the method

Art Unit: 2645

steps of claim 1. Therefore, see the claim 1 rejection for additional details. See also Figs. 7-12 for the various means.

With respect to claim 16, the "call manager" reads on the natural language server which provides call center content in the form of IVR dialogues (Fig. 10 and paragraph 0109), the "VMXL interpreter" reads on the VoiceXML server which interprets VXML (Fig. 10 and paragraph 0109), the "network interface" reads on Fig. 7 which illustrates various network interfaces.

With respect to claim 2, the "telephony server" reads on Fig. 7, VoIP gateway, the "remote administrator" reads on certain users have user administration capability granting permissions and rights to certain content (paragraph 0012).

With respect to claims 3, 4, and 19, see paragraphs 0076 and 0144.

With respect to claim 5, the user is a remote administrator (see the claim 2 rejection) who also enters commands using speech recognition (translating input) (paragraph 0048).

Art Unit: 2645

With respect to claim 6, Schultz automatically distributes calls to and from an operator position (e.g., Fig. 1 and paragraph 0084) and therefore can be considered as comprising an "ACD". In addition, the "call center command server" reads on the natural language server (Fig. 10).

With respect to claim 7, see the claims 5 and 16 rejection for further details.

With respect to claims 8 and 20, see paragraph 0049.

With respect to claim 9, see the claim 16 rejection for further details.

With respect to claims 17 and 18, see Fig. 10 which illustrates that the natural language server (call manager) interfaces to telephony gateway (telephony server). Regarding "remote administrator", see claim 2.

With respect to claim 10, see paragraph 0009.

With respect to claims 13 and 15, see Fig. 7.

Art Unit: 2645

With respect to claim 14, see the claim 1 rejection and Fig. 7.

With respect to claim 19, see paragraphs 0076 and 0084.

With respect to claims 21 and 23, the natural language server (call manager) translates user IVR commands into commands suitable for controlling the voiceXML server (Fig. 10).

With respect to claims 22 and 24-26, see Figs. 7, 8, and 10 where the VoiceXML server uses a command interface with both the local area network and the Internet and to the switching platform used as an ACD (as discussed in the claim 6 rejection above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2645

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz as applied to claim 1 above.

Although Schultz discloses an IVR interface where the user can enter voice commands, Schultz fails to disclose that barge-in is supported.

However, "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of supporting barge-in in an IVR system would have been well-known and expected in the art.

Art Unit: 2645

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add barge-in to the IVR system disclosed by Schultz.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to increase IVR user-friendliness, efficiency, and reliability by allowing a user, especially a skilled user, the ability to enter a command before listening to an entire prompt and without causing the IVR to misunderstand the command. For example, it is well known in IVR systems that the user can press or say "one" before the prompt "press or say one" fully plays out.

Art Unit: 2645

Conclusion

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roland Foster whose telephone number is (703) 305-1491. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan S. Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Roland G. Foster
Patent Examiner
September 3, 2003

, ,	OLF E JOS	Application/Control No. 09/675,597	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination JAMES ET AL.	
	Motice of Meletelices Cited July "	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Roland G. Foster	2645	Page 1 of 1
	AT A TRANS S. PA	ATENT DOCUMENTS		

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Name	Classification
	Α	US-2002/0010584 A1	01-2002	Schultz et al.	DECENTED	704/270
	В	US-2002/0035474 A1	03-2002	Alpdemir, Ahmet	RECEIVED	704/270
	С	US-2002/0046030 A1	04-2002	Haritsa et al.	OCT 0 6 2003	704/256
	D	US-2002/0054090 A1	05-2002	Silva et al.	Technology Center 2600	345/747
	Е	US-2003/0115353 A1	06-2003	Deryugin et al.	3) 001101 2000	709/231
7	F	US-				
1	G	US-				
	Н	US-				
	1	US-				
	J	US-		-		
	к	US-				
	L	US-				
	М	US-				

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	x	A DECEMBER (Co. MDED S 707 OF (a))

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

For	rm PTO-14 ev. 8-88)	49 DEI 0 2 TORS 3	U.S. Department Patent and Trade	of Commerce mark Office	Attorney Dock 99EC036/77 Applicant	et No. 527	Serial 09/6	No. 75,597	
1 /	W 10178		OSURE STATEM ets if necessary)	ENT	James, et al. Filing Date September 2		Group 2643		
虾	2001 J		U.S.	PATENT DOCUM	IENTS	T		Filing Da	
嘭	HARMAS	Document Number	Date	<u> </u>	lame	Class	Subclass	If Appro	priate
-/	2,4,5	5,572,570	11-5-1996	Kuenzig		379			
<u> </u>		5,592,538	1-7-1997	Kosowsky, e	t al.	379	93		
	*	5,802,526	9-1-1998	Fawcett, et a	nl	707	104		
					DEC	- - - -	D		
-						200		REC	EIV
_						0 6 200	0000	REC APR 1	8 2
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Technolog	Center	Zouu Teci	nology (Cento
_									SOUG
L						 			
			FORE	IGN PATENT DO	GN PATENT DOCUMENTS				slation
		Document Numb	er Date		Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	1100
							-	-	-
								_	
\vdash									
-		OTUCE	DOCUMENTS (I	ncluding Author, T	itle, Date, Pertine	nt Pages,	Etc.)		
-	R.C.F	OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) "Call Center Command Server Definition", Analysis and High Level Design, Rockwell Electronic Commerce, August 12, 1998, 44 pgs.							
` ' '									
	Examiner	1	considered, whel	Date Conside	914	103	TD COO: Drove	· line throu	ıah